

# Some perspectives on differential operators in algebraic geometry

Arun Soor

May 11, 2023

## Abstract

These are notes for a study group on Geometric Langlands in Oxford in May 2023.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b><math>\mathcal{D}</math>-modules</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Differential operators . . . . .	2
2.2	DG-modules over the de Rham complex . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Crystals</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	$\mathcal{D}$ -schemes, jets, conformal blocks . . . . .	4

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M/\mathbb{C}$  be a complex manifold and let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a rank  $n$  vector bundle. A connection on  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E)$  satisfying the Leibniz rule  $\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f\nabla(s)$ , for all  $f \in \Gamma(M)$ ,  $s \in \Gamma(E)$ . By evaluating  $\nabla$  at vector fields  $\partial$ , it can equivalently be viewed as a map  $\Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \text{End}(E) : \partial \mapsto \nabla_{\partial}$ .

Given  $x, y \in M$ , let  $\gamma$  be a smooth path with  $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y$ , then  $\dot{\gamma}$  is a vector field along  $\gamma$ . Let  $e_x \in E_x$ , then by the existence of solutions to linear ODEs with given initial conditions (Picard-Lindelöf theorem), there exists a unique section  $s$  of  $E$  along  $\gamma$  such that  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}s = 0$  and  $s(0) = e_x$ . Set  $e_y = s(1)$ , then by considering the reversed path we have determined an isomorphism  $\Gamma(\gamma)_x^y : E_x \xrightarrow{\sim} E_y$  which, if  $\nabla$  is *flat*, can be shown to depend only on the path homotopy class of  $\gamma$ . This is known as parallel transport along  $\gamma$ . In particular the connection has given us a canonical identification of “nearby” fibers of  $E$ , basically since  $M \cong \mathbb{C}^{\dim M}$  locally, which is simply-connected.

In this talk we will present a generalisation of vector bundles with *flat* connection ( $\mathcal{D}$ -modules), and an algebraic incarnation of “identifying nearby fibers” (crystals), and relate them.

## 2 $\mathcal{D}$ -modules

Let  $X/\mathbb{C}$  be a smooth scheme and let  $\mathcal{D}_X \subset \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be the subsheaf of algebras generated by  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X = \mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . A  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module is a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module object  $M \in \text{QCoh}(X)$ , extending the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure. This is the same<sup>1</sup> as giving a connection  $\nabla : M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^1$  which is flat, i.e.  $\nabla \wedge \nabla : M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}^2$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules generalise vector bundles with connection by removing the finite locally free hypothesis.

### 2.1 Differential operators

For  $M, N \in \text{QCoh}(X)$  recursively define  $\mathcal{D}iff^0(M, N) := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, N)$  and

$$\mathcal{D}iff^{n+1}(M, N) := \{D \in \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N) : fD - Df \in \mathcal{D}iff^n(M, N) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{O}_X\}, \quad (1)$$

and set  $\mathcal{D}iff(M, N) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}iff^n(M, N)$ . For example  $\mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}iff(M, N)$  is filtered, and in fact if  $M, N$  are locally free then  $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}iff(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, N) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathcal{T}_X$ . Thus if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle then  $\mathcal{D}_X(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathcal{T}_X$  and this is in fact an isomorphism of Poisson algebras<sup>2</sup> (recall that for any filtered graded-commutative ring  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$  has the canonical structure of a Poisson algebra).

**Definition 2.1.** [Gin98, Definition 2.2.1] *A TDO is a positively filtered sheaf  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras together with an isomorphism  $\text{gr } \mathcal{D} \cong \text{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathcal{T}_X$  of Poisson algebras.*

The category  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}_X}$  is abelian and closed symmetric monoidal with respect to  $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, -)$ . Unfortunately, given a smooth morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of smooth schemes there is no obvious morphism of ringed spaces  $(X, \mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{D}_Y)$  extending this. This makes push/pull of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules difficult to define. In fact,  $f_*$  only exists at the derived level. The next section is intended to give a more intuitive description of these functors.

### 2.2 DG-modules over the de Rham complex

The main reference for this section is [Kap91], see also [BD, §7.2, 7.3]. The content of this section ( $\mathcal{D}$ - $\Omega$  duality) can be seen as an instance of Koszul duality [Pos11, Appendix B].

Let  $X/\mathbb{C}$  be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Recall that a DG-algebra is a graded algebra  $A$  with degree 1 differential  $d$  satisfying  $d \circ d = 0$  and the graded Leibnitz rule  $d(ab) = (da) \cdot b + (-1)^{\deg a} a \cdot (db)$  (for homogeneous  $a, b$ ), i.e., a monoid object in chain complexes. For example the de Rham complex  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$  is a sheaf of DG-algebras on  $X_{\text{Zar}}$ , we define an  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$ -module as a module object for this in  $\text{Ch}(\text{QCoh}(X))$ . A morphism of such is just an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map of complexes and we denote the category of such by  $\mathcal{M}_{qc}(\Omega_X^{\bullet})$ . This is nothing but the full subcategory of  $M^{\bullet} \in \text{Ch}(\text{QCoh}(X))$  where we require  $d \in \mathcal{D}iff^1(M^i, M^{i+1})$  for all  $i$ .

We can also consider graded left modules (without differential) over the graded algebra  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$ , which we call  $\Omega_X^{\#}$ -modules.

$\mathcal{M}_c^b(\Omega_X^{\bullet})$  shall denote the category of  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$ -modules  $M^{\bullet}$ , such that  $M^{\bullet}$  is a bounded complex of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

If  $s : M^{\bullet} \rightarrow N^{\bullet}[1]$  is a morphism of  $\Omega_X^{\#}$ -modules then  $f = d_N s + s d_M$  is a morphism of  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$ -modules which we call “homotopic to 0” and we form the homotopy category  $\mathbf{K}_c^b(\Omega_X^{\bullet})$

<sup>1</sup>The first condition just says that  $\mathcal{T}_X$  acts by derivations and the flatness says that the components of  $\mathcal{T}_X$  commute with each other.

<sup>2</sup>A Poisson algebra is a commutative algebra with Lie bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  which is a bi-derivation.

as a quotient of  $\mathcal{M}_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet)$ . A map  $f : M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{M}_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet)$  is called a quasi-isomorphism if  $f_{\text{an}} : M_{\text{an}}^\bullet \rightarrow N_{\text{an}}^\bullet$  is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of sheaves on  $X_{\text{an}}$ ; localising, we form  $D_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet)$ . Given  $M^\bullet \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega_X^\bullet)$  consider the complex

$$DR^{-1}(M^\bullet) := \left[ \cdots \rightarrow M^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X \xrightarrow{\delta} M^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \cdots \right] \quad (2)$$

where  $\delta$  is defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & M^{i+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X \\ \cong \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{O}_X, M^i) & \xrightarrow{d_{M^i} \circ -} & \mathcal{D}iff(\mathcal{O}_X, M^{i+1}) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

This extends to an exact functor  $\widetilde{DR}^{-1} : D_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow D_c^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . We define also the functor  $\widetilde{DR} : D_c^b(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow D_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet) : N^\bullet \mapsto N^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_X$ , it is well-defined by the existence of the Spencer resolution.

**Theorem 2.2.** [Kap91, Theorem 1.4],[Sai89, Proposition 1.2] *The functors  $\widetilde{DR}^{-1}$ ,  $\widetilde{DR}$  are mutual quasi-inverses giving an equivalence of categories  $D_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet) \cong D_c^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ .*

Thus  $D_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet)$  is a “direct” definition of  $D_c^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . For a smooth morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of smooth varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  put  $f_*, f^{-1}$  for the sheaf-theoretic direct/inverse images, then  $f$  induces a morphism of DG-ringed spaces  $(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow (Y, \Omega_Y^\bullet)$ , i.e, we have a DG-algebra map  $\Omega_Y^\bullet \rightarrow f_* \Omega_X^\bullet$ , equivalently  $f^{-1} \Omega_Y^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet$ . Thus we can define push/pull on  $\mathcal{M}_{qc}(\Omega^\bullet)$  in the usual way, i.e.,  $f_{\Omega,*} M^\bullet := f_* M^\bullet$  with the action given by restriction along  $\Omega_Y^\bullet \rightarrow f_* \Omega_X^\bullet$ , and  $f_{\Omega}^* N^\bullet := \Omega_X^\bullet \otimes_{f^{-1} \Omega_Y^\bullet} f^{-1} N^\bullet$ , for  $M^\bullet \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\Omega_X^\bullet), N^\bullet \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\Omega_Y^\bullet)$ . The pushforward  $f_{\Omega,*}$  has to be “derived” to get a functor  $Rf_{\Omega,*} : D_c^b(\Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow D_c^b(\Omega_Y^\bullet)$ . By using Theorem 2.2 one can recover the usual formulas for push/pull of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

The analogue of Theorem 2.2 for  $D_{qc}^?( \mathcal{D}_X )$ ,  $? \in \{\emptyset, +, -, b\}$  is more subtle to define. Just as above, we are always able to define an adjoint pair of functors

$$\widetilde{DR}^{-1} : \mathbf{K}_{qc}^?(\Omega_X^\bullet) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{K}_{qc}^?(\mathcal{D}_X) : \widetilde{DR} \quad (4)$$

however we must be careful about which quasi-isomorphisms to invert on the left, c.f. [BD04, §2.1.10]. One defines a  $\mathcal{D}$ -quasi-isomorphism as those  $\psi$  where  $\widetilde{DR}^{-1}(\psi)$  is a quasi-isomorphism in  $\mathbf{K}_{qc}^?(\mathcal{D}_X)$  and  $D_{qc}^?(\Omega_X^\bullet)$  is the localisation at these, then we get the equivalence  $D_{qc}^?(\Omega_X^\bullet) \cong D_{qc}^?(\mathcal{D}_X)$ .

### 3 Crystals

As mentioned, crystals are supposed to be an algebraic incarnation of “identifying nearby fibers”. The main reference for this section is [Lur09].

Let  $X/k$  be any separated scheme over any field  $k$  of characteristic 0 (not necessarily smooth), which we may view as a functor on commutative  $k$ -algebras  $R$ . For a quasi-coherent sheaf  $M$  on  $X$  and  $x \in X(R)$  we have the pullback  $x^* M \in \mathbf{Mod}_R$ . We say  $x, y \in X(R)$  are infinitesimally close if they agree in the image of  $X(R) \rightarrow X(R^{\text{red}})$ . Then a crystal in quasi-coherent sheaves is such an  $M$ , together with the data of isomorphisms  $\alpha_{x,y} : x^* M \rightarrow y^* M$  for every pair  $x, y \in X(R)$  of infinitesimally close points, compatible

with base change in  $R$  and satisfying a cocycle condition (coming from the transitivity of the relation of being “infinitesimally close”).

If one defines the functor  $X_{\text{dR}}(R) := X(R^{\text{red}})$  then this is the same as the data of a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X_{\text{dR}}$ , where for an arbitrary functor  $\text{CommAlg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set}$  a quasi-coherent sheaf on it is defined as in [Lur09]. We think of  $X(R) \rightarrow X_{\text{dR}}(R)$  as giving  $X_{\text{dR}}(R)$  the structure of a groupoid (where we have divided by the relation of being infinitesimally close), the “infinitesimal groupoid”. If  $X$  is smooth then  $X_{\text{dR}}$  is a sheaf on  $\text{Sch}_{/k}^{\text{op}}$  and accordingly is called the de Rham stack.

Consider (c.f. [Gro68, Appendix]) the diagram

$$\widehat{(X \times X \times X)}_{\Delta} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_{12}} \\ \xrightarrow{-p_{23}} \\ \xrightarrow{p_{31}} \end{array} \widehat{(X \times X)}_{\Delta} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_1} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} X \quad (5)$$

where  $\widehat{(X \times X)}_{\Delta}$ , etc, is the formal completion along the diagonal,  $p_{12}, p_1$ , etc, are the projections<sup>3</sup>. We claim that a crystal is the same as the data  $(M, \varphi)$  where  $M \in \text{QCoh}(X)$  and  $\varphi : p_1^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^* M$  is an isomorphism which restricts to  $\text{id}$  on the diagonal and satisfies the cocycle condition  $p_{23}^*(\varphi) \circ p_{12}^*(\varphi) = p_{13}^*(\varphi)$ : morally speaking,  $X_{\text{dR}}$  is the coequaliser of the diagram (5).

For, to say that  $x, y : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$  are infinitesimally close is the same as saying that  $(x, y) : \text{Spec}(R^{\text{red}}) \rightarrow X \times X$  factors through the diagonal, i.e.,  $(x, y)^* \mathcal{J} \subset \text{nilrad}(R)$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the ideal defining the diagonal; so<sup>4</sup>

$$(x, y)^* \mathcal{J}^{n+1} = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

As a formal scheme,  $\widehat{(X \times X)}_{\Delta}$  is just a particular kind of ind-scheme<sup>5</sup>, and so  $\widehat{(X \times X)}_{\Delta}(R) = \varinjlim_n (X \times X)_{\Delta}^n(R)$ , one then notes that (6) says exactly that  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)_{\Delta}^n(R)$ . Thus we have shown that  $\widehat{(X \times X)}_{\Delta}$  is universal for pairs of infinitesimally close morphisms  $(x, y)$ , hence to give all the data of a crystal it is sufficient to give  $M \in \text{QCoh}(X)$  with an isomorphism  $\varphi : p_1^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^* M$  satisfying the cocycle condition.

If  $X$  is smooth this is the same as a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. For, by the usual adjunction  $\varphi$  translates to a map

$$\tilde{\varphi} : M \rightarrow p_{1,*} p_2^* M = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} / \mathcal{J}^{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M \quad (7)$$

since  $X$  is smooth we can take étale coordinates  $\{x_i\}$  locally and identify  $\mathcal{D}_X$  with the restricted (filtered)  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -dual of  $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_{X \times X} / \mathcal{J}^{n+1}$  by the pairing  $\langle \partial^\alpha, \frac{1}{\beta!} (x' - x'')^\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  (extended bilinearly). Therefore the “coaction” (7) can be transposed to an action  $\tilde{\varphi}^t : \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M \rightarrow M$ ; that this extends the  $\mathcal{O}_X$  action and is associative, is equivalent to  $\varphi|_{\Delta} = \text{id}$  and the cocycle condition.

### 3.1 $\mathcal{D}$ -schemes, jets, conformal blocks

The main references for this section are [Neg09, Lur09]. We can define crystals valued in all sorts of objects. For example if  $S/k$  is a smooth scheme then we define a *crystal*

<sup>3</sup>This makes the pair  $(X, \widehat{(X \times X)}_{\Delta})$  into a formal groupoid in the sense of Simpson [Sim97, §7], who then defines  $X_{\text{dR}}$  as the stack associated to this formal groupoid; he then shows that if  $X$  is smooth then  $X_{\text{dR}}(R) = X(R^{\text{red}})$ . Here we are starting the other way round.

<sup>4</sup>For simplicity assume  $R$  is Noetherian...

<sup>5</sup>i.e., just the ones whose reduction is actually a scheme.

of schemes over  $S$  as an  $S$ -scheme  $Z \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ , with the following additional data: for each  $R \in \mathbf{CommAlg}_k$  and each pair of infinitesimally close morphisms  $x, y \in S(R)$  an isomorphism  $x^*Z \xrightarrow{\cong} y^*Z$ , compatible with base change in  $R$  and satisfying a cocycle condition. Here  $x^*Z := Z \times_{S,x} \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ .

In a manner analogous to previous, there is a relation to  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -modules, namely a canonical equivalence

$$\mathbf{CommMon}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{D}_S})^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \{\text{crystals of } S\text{-schemes } \pi : Z \rightarrow S \text{ with } \pi \text{ affine}\}, \quad (8)$$

objects on the left are ‘‘affine’’  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -schemes. More generally a  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme is an  $S$ -scheme equipped with a flat connection  $\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \Omega_{S/k}^1$ , for example  $\underline{\mathrm{Spec}}_S(\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{M})$  for any  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -schemes give a coordinate-free way of writing nonlinear differential equations. They have an obvious forgetful functor to  $S$ -schemes, which has an adjoint  $\mathcal{J}$ , the functor of jets. Given a commutative  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebra  $A$  with  $X = \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}_S(A)$  one sets

$$\mathcal{J}X := \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}_S((\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A) / \ker(\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S} A \rightarrow A)) \quad (9)$$

and this can be globalised by gluing. Given a morphism of  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -schemes  $Y \rightarrow Z$  one defines the functor (on  $\mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{op}}$ ) of horizontal sections

$$\mathrm{HorSect}(Z, Y)(T) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathcal{D}_S}/Z}(Z \times T, Y), \quad (10)$$

they are ‘‘horizontal’’ since they are automatically  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme maps. If  $X$  is an  $S$ -scheme with a map to the  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme  $Z$  then the adjunction gives as  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme map  $\mathcal{J}X \rightarrow Z$ , and unraveling the adjunctions one has

$$\mathrm{HorSect}(Z, \mathcal{J}X) = \mathrm{Sect}(Z, X), \quad (11)$$

where the functor of sections is given by  $\mathrm{Sect}(Z, X)(T) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}_S/Z}(Z \times T, X)$ . Therefore one can recover  $X$  from its jet-scheme. A particular case is the functor of conformal blocks, defined by  $H_{\nabla}(S, Y) := \mathrm{HorSect}(S, Y)$  for  $Y \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathcal{D}_S}$ , here  $Y \rightarrow S$  is the structural map.

This has the following interpretation in terms of crystals. A crystal of  $S$ -schemes (equivalently  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme) is the same as a relatively representable functor  $Z$  over the de Rham stack  $S_{\mathrm{dR}}$ . The forgetful functor from crystals of  $S$ -schemes to  $S$ -schemes is given by pullback along the ‘‘tautological’’ 2-morphism  $p_{\mathrm{dR}, S} : S \rightarrow S_{\mathrm{dR}}$ , i.e.,  $p_{\mathrm{dR}, S}^* = - \times_{S_{\mathrm{dR}}} S$ , and the jet-functor is given by pushforward  $p_{\mathrm{dR}, S, *}$ , i.e., Weil restriction [Sta, Tag 05Y8]. Given crystals of  $S$ -schemes  $Y, Z$  with a map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  over  $S_{\mathrm{dR}}$ , the functor of horizontal sections is given by pushforward (Weil restriction) of functors along  $Z \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}$ , and the conformal block functor is the particular case when we take  $Z = S$  and the structural map  $Y \rightarrow S$ . Therefore we see that  $H_{\nabla}$  is adjoint to the functor taking a scheme  $T$  to the constant  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme  $S \times T$ , i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}/k}(H_{\nabla}(S, Y), X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathcal{D}_S}}(Y, X \times S), \quad (12)$$

for any  $\mathcal{D}_S$ -scheme  $Y$  and  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}/k$ .

## References

- [BD] Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfel’d. Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves. Preprint available at <https://math.uchicago.edu/~Drinfel'd/langlands/QuantizationHitchin.pdf>.

- [BD04] Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfel'd. *Chiral algebras*, volume 51 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [Gin98] Victor Ginzburg. Lectures on  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, Winter 1998. Available at [https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/grad\\_2009/Ginzburg.pdf](https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/grad_2009/Ginzburg.pdf).
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck. Crystals and the de Rham cohomology of schemes. In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, page 306–358. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Kap91] Mikhail M. Kapranov. On DG-modules over the de Rham complex and the vanishing cycles functor. In *Algebraic geometry (Chicago, IL, 1989)*, volume 1479 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 57–86. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. Notes on crystals and algebraic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, 2009. Available at [https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/grad\\_2009/SeminarNotes/Nov17-19\(Crystals\).pdf](https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/grad_2009/SeminarNotes/Nov17-19(Crystals).pdf).
- [Neg09] Andrei Negut.  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -schemes, jets and conformal blocks (the commutative case), 2009. Notes, available at [https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/grad\\_2009/SeminarNotes/Nov12\(Dschemes\).pdf](https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gaitsgde/grad_2009/SeminarNotes/Nov12(Dschemes).pdf).
- [Pos11] Leonid Positselski. Two kinds of derived categories, Koszul duality, and comodule-contramodule correspondence. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 212(996):vi+133, 2011.
- [Sai89] Morihiko Saitō. Induced  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and differential complexes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 117(3):361–387, 1989.
- [Sim97] Carlos Simpson. The Hodge filtration on nonabelian cohomology. In *Algebraic geometry. Proceedings of the Summer Research Institute, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, July 9–29, 1995*, pages 217–281. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.